Transportation Advisory Group – Sub Report A



Report subject	Traffic Regulation Orders –Stourbank Rd Residents Parking Scheme
Meeting date	22 January 2020
Status	Public Report
Executive summary	To consider representations to the advertisement of Traffic Regulation Orders for P15 2019 Stourbank Rd Residents Parking Scheme
Recommendations	The Transportation Advisory Group is asked to consider recommending to the Cabinet that it approves:
	The Order is confirmed as advertised
Reason for recommendations	P15 2019 Stourbank Rd Residents Parking Scheme
	To approve the making/sealing of proposed changes to the Traffic Regulation Order to implement a new residents parking scheme in Stourbank Rd, Christchurch.
Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Andy Hadley – Cabinet Member for Transport and Infrastructure
Corporate Director	Bill Cotton – Director of Regeneration and Economy
Service Director	Julian McLaughlin, Growth & Infrastructure
Contributors	Chris Parkes – Team Leader Traffic Management
Wards	Various
Classification	For Decision

Background

 The scheme was due to be implemented by Dorset County Council before the merger was completed. Unfortunately, due to time pressures and a lack of resources the initial advert for the proposal was not advertised. Neighbouring Riverlea Road (which is very similar in nature to Stourbank Rd) already has a resident parking scheme in place and the new scheme will match this. The 43 households of Stourbank Road were sent a survey letter and 31 responded. Of these, 22 [71%] supported the introduction of this proposal and 9 were [29%] against.

A report was submitted to Cabinet in July and approval was given to advertise the proposal. The scheme was advertised from 16 August 2019 to 6 September 2019. The responses to the advertisement are summarised in the appendix.

Summary of financial implications

2. The costs associated with both the consultation and implementation of the TRO will be covered by the Permanent Traffic Regulation Order budget. The cost is estimated to be £2,500. The permits issued annually for this scheme will recover some of this cost.

Summary of legal implications

3. Highways Authorities are required to give formal consideration to any representations received during the advertisement period.

Summary of human resources implications

4. None.

Summary of environmental impact

5. None

Summary of public health implications

6. None

Summary of equality implications

7. The Traffic Regulation Orders do not have direct equality implications

Summary of risk assessment

8. None

Background papers

9. None

Appendices

Appendix – Summary of representations, and responses to issues raised





Appendix

Summary of Representations, and Responses to Issues Raised P15 2019 Stourbank Rd Residents Parking Scheme

The outcome of the public consultation was;

Representations	Response
Eleven submissions in support	Noted
Two submissions (from the same property) supporting the scheme but objecting to the operating times.	The submissions did not object to the scheme but requested to extend the operating times to cover the evenings and weekends. The extension of the operating times will be considered following a bedding in period to see how the scheme works.
One submission stating they object to the scheme as they have off-street parking.	The resident does not need a permit to park on their own drive. The resident is eligible for visitor permits if they require them. The scheme does not operate in the evenings and at weekends, so they could park on the road during these times without a permit.

Recommendation

Overall, the submissions were in support of the scheme and the objections are not substantive. Therefore, the recommendation is for the scheme to be progressed as advertised.